


Supplemental Information



SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE 2

Flow diagram summarizing the article selection process.

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 2 Estimated Risk of Bias of Included Studies

Source	Quality Assessment						Total score	RoB
	A	B	C	D	E	F		
Ackard et al, 2007 ⁴⁰	*	*	*	**	*	—	6	Medium
Teitelman et al, 2008 ⁵⁷	*	*	*	**	*	—	6	Medium
Clark et al, 2014 ⁴⁹	*	*	*	**	*	—	6	Medium
Exner-Cortens et al, 2017 ²³	*	*	*	**	*	—	6	Medium
van Dulmen et al, 2012 ⁴⁶	*	*	*	—	*	—	4	High
Exner-Cortens et al, 2013 ⁴²	*	*	*	**	*	*	7	Low
Madkour et al, 2014 ¹⁴	*	*	*	**	*	—	6	Medium
Deusch, 2019 ⁵³	*	*	*	**	*	—	6	Medium
Copp et al, 2016 ⁵⁰	*	*	—	**	*	—	5	Medium
Johnson et al, 2014 ⁴⁴	*	*	*	*	*	—	5	Medium
Cuevas et al, 2020 ³⁵	*	*	*	**	*	—	6	Medium
Herrenkohl and Jung, 2016 ²⁶	*	*	*	**	*	*	7	Low
Temple et al, 2016a ³³	*	*	*	**	*	*	7	Low
Temple et al, 2016b ⁴¹	*	*	*	**	*	*	7	Low
Jouriles et al, 2017 ²⁷	*	*	*	**	*	*	7	Low
Shorey et al, 2019 ⁵¹	*	*	*	*	*	—	5	Medium
Vanwoerden et al, 2019 ⁴⁸	*	*	*	**	*	*	7	Low
Shorey et al, 2021 ³⁸	*	*	*	—	*	—	4	High
Walsh et al, 2017 ⁵⁵	*	*	*	**	*	*	7	Low
Foshee et al, 2013 ⁴³	*	*	*	**	*	—	6	Medium
Foshee et al, 2016 ⁴⁵	*	*	*	**	*	—	6	Medium
Goodcase et al, 2020 ²⁵	*	*	*	**	*	*	7	Low
Holt et al, 2018 ⁵⁶	—	*	*	**	*	*	6	Low
Nahapetyan et al, 2014 ⁴⁷	*	*	*	**	*	*	7	Low
Orpinas et al, 2017 ⁵²	*	*	*	—	*	*	5	Medium
Reyes et al, 2012 ⁵⁴	*	*	*	**	*	—	6	Medium
Sabol et al, 2020 ²⁸	*	*	*	**	*	*	7	Low
Yu et al, 2018 ³²	—	*	*	—	*	—	3	High
Fernandez-Gonzalez et al, 2020 ²⁴	*	*	*	*	*	*	6	Medium
Timmons Fritz and Smith Slep, 2009 ⁵⁰	*	*	*	**	*	—	6	Medium
Williams et al, 2008 ³¹	*	*	*	**	*	*	7	Low
Wolfe et al, 2004 ²²	*	*	*	**	*	*	7	Low
Reyes et al, 2015 ²¹	*	*	*	**	*	*	7	Low
Smith et al, 2022 ²⁹	*	*	*	**	*	*	7	Low
Mumford et al, 2019 ³⁶	*	*	*	**	*	—	6	Medium
Taylor et al, 2021 ⁵⁴	*	*	*	*	*	—	5	Medium
Hautala and Sittner, 2021 ⁵⁹	*	*	*	**	*	*	7	Low
Psychogiou et al, 2022 ³⁷	*	*	*	**	*	—	6	Medium

RoB assessed with NOS. A, representativeness exposed cohort; B, selection nonexposed cohort; C, demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study; D, comparability of cohorts based on the design or analysis; E, was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur; F, adequacy of follow-up of cohorts. Scoring: A star is assigned to each assessment point (2 stars for point D) when the study is considered to meet the NOS criteria. —, Criteria not met.